Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,971	BERNSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID E. HARVEY	2621
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>DAVID E. HARVEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Kenneth D. Springer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 9.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>M/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. $g)$ was not reached. h h h h h h		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Applicant's representative agreed to changes proposed by examiner to avoid 112 issues (see attached Examiner's Amendment).</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SEE MIPE SECTION TASK, HIS REPLY TO BE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF SEMIPE SECTION OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAIN DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAIN DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.		
/DAVID E HARVEY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621		

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)